

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/878,347	06/12/2001	Mats Nystrom	2187		
7590 07/13/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Law Offices of David J. Serbin			LANGEL, WAYNE A		
1217 KING ST. ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1754		
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

1	<i>ل</i> ان		- 6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
-	09/878,347	NYSTROM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wayne Langel	1754	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Wayne Langel	1754						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of		e final rejection, whicheve	eria lator In no					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered	because					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
, , ,	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or		:44 -1-:						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).					
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	•	timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s).	mowable ii submitted iii a separate	, timery med amendin	ient cancening					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	1					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other: Wayne A. Jangel								
	,	Wayne Langel						

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1754

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly recited limitation in claim 20 of the composition additionally comprising "durene in an amount not exceeding about 25 wt% of total amount of quinone solvents, the total amount of isodurene and durene constituting from about 30 to about 100 wt% of the quinone solvents" would require further consideration and possibly search of the prior art.